Obstruent production by Greekspeaking children with atypical phonological development

Katerina Nicolaidis¹, Asimina Syrika², Jan Edwards³, Mary Beckman⁴ ¹Aristotle University of Thessaloniki ²³University of Wisconsin-Madison ⁴Ohio State University

Phonological development

- Children's production patterns are influenced by several parameters including:
- Universal constraints on perception and production
 - Sounds that are more difficult to produce are acquired later
 - For example, fricatives vs stops
- Phoneme and phoneme sequence frequency
 Frequency of sound in ambient language facilitates early acquisition

Phonological development

Common error patterns

- Substitution
 - Stopping ['tupa] for /'supa/
 - Fronting ['tola] for /'kola/
 - Liquid deviation [ne'lo] for /ne'ro/

Affecting syllable structure

- weak syllable deletion [pa'to] for /pago'to/
- final consonant deletion ['kokora] for /'kokoras/
- Reduplication [ma'mame] for /ki'mame/
- Reduction of consonant clusters ['piti] for /'spiti/
- Assimilation
 - Consonant harmony [da'daki] for /tsa'daki/
 - Prevocalic voicing ['bodi] for /'podi/

Atypical phonological development

- persisting error patterns
 - patterns continue after a certain age, e.g. consonant harmony after 4
- chronological mismatch
- advanced patterns co-occur with early ones,
- e.g. consonant harmony co-occurs with consonant clusters variable error patterns
- different errors for the same structures,
- e.g. final consonant deletion or substitution of final consonant by glottal stop
- systematic sound preference
- e.g. [t] for /θ/, /f/, /s/, S/
- atypical error patterns
- e.g. gliding of fricatives, frication of stops, initial consonant deletion, etc.

Aims

- investigate error patterns in obstruent production and s stop & stop s consonantal sequences produced by children with atypical phonological development
- examine the effect of word frequency in production
- look into the influence of the ambient language in the error patterns observed

Acquisition of Greek consonants and clusters

75% PAL (1995) 2		t	k	kj	S	*	ts
		2;6-3;0) 2;6-3;	0 2;6-3	;0 3;6-4	;0 4;0-4;0	6 4;6-5;0
	75%		sp	st	sk	ps	ks
	PAL (1995)		3;6-4;0	4;0-4;6	4;0-4;6	4;0-4;6	4;0-4;6

Acquisition of Greek

(source: PAL)

2;6-3;0: fronting, backing, stopping, consonant harmony, consonant deletion, final consonant deletion, cluster reduction, metathesis/migration

3;0-3;6:

consonant deletion, fronting and backing are declining

3;6-4;0:

consonant harmony and stopping are declining 4;0-5;0:

syllable reduction, metathesis/migration and final consonant deletion are declining

Acquisition of clusters

- follows acquisition of singletons
- /s/ stop clusters before stop /s/ clusters
- some error patterns in TD children
 - deletion of first member of the cluster /s/ more often in /s/-stop clusters. stop more often in stop-/s/ clusters.
 - most frequent substitution for /s/-stop clusters is a stop
 - most frequent substitution for /ps/ and /ks/ is a fricative or other stop-fricative sequence [ts]

Syrika et al (2007)

Speech material

- obstruents /t, k, kj, s, θ, ts/
- s-stop and stop-s sequences
 - /sp, st, sk, ps, ts, ks/ recorded for one child only
- word-initial position
- vowel context: /i, e, a, o, u/
- real words:
 - familiar to the children
 - 2-3 syllables long word initial stress
 - picturable
- nonsense words (for singleton obstruents)
 - 3-4 syllables long
 - anti-penultimate stress

Subjects 3 Greek-speaking children with atypical phonological development one girl (3;3) two boys (3;6 and 4;3) normal hearing normal IQ atypical phonological development: based on parent and teacher report

- based on informal observation at time of testing
- based on opinion of a Greek speech-language pathologist who listened to the recordings

Subjects

- 12 Greek-speaking children with typical phonological development
 - three groups of 4 children matched in age with children with PD
 - normal hearing
 - normal IO
 - age-appropriate speech and language development, based on parent and teacher report

Procedure

- picture and digitized recording of each stimulus presented simultaneously
- children repeated the word they heard
- children's repetitions were digitally recorded
- native speaker transcription using PRAAT
- two native speakers transcribed the PD data
- initial consonant and cluster labelled
 - correct-incorrect
 - if incorrect, phonetic transcription of perceived error
 - agreement between transcribers was good, differences were resolved by discussion





Consonant production: TD children



Production in real vs nonsense words

 More correct productions in real words for most consonants for all children groups

Consonant production: PD children

- Production in real vs nonsense words
 - variability among consonants and children
 - more correct productions for [kj] in real words for all children











Cluster production

- no ps, ks, st clusters at 4;3 (0%)
- [ts] acquired (100%)
- [ts] substitutes for
 - 🔹 [ps], [ks], 🍕 🔌
 - 🔹 [st], 🍕
 - [sk] in front vowel environment
- [p] and [k] (in certain contexts) correct in s-stop clusters [sp, sk]
- not in target stop-s clusters [ps, ks] (produced [ts])
- /s/ more correct in target [ps, ts, ks] (all produced [ts]) than s-stop clusters



preference for affricate production

The PD children of this study show instances of:

- persisting error patterns,
 - e.g. consonant harmony after 4 (PD4)
- chronological mismatch,
 - e.g. production of affricate but not [kj] after 4 (PD4)
- variable error patterns,
- e.g. fronting and backing of [k], [k] or [t] for /ts/ (PD3a)
 sound preference,
 - /t/ for [θ, s, ts, k] (PD3-b), /t/ for [θ, s, ts, k] (PD4),[ts] for [ps, ks, st sk (certain contexts)] (PD4)
- atypical error patterns,
- e.g. glottal replacement (PD3-a, PD3-b)

Conclusions

- Children show some error patterns that are: common across languages (e.g. English, German, Cantonese, Turkish, etc) fronting, stopping, consonant harmony not common across languages backing, glottal replacement
- .
- .
- glottal replacement can be considered a simplification error backing characterises atypical phonological development in some languages such as English, but has been observed for other languages with rich systems of velars and high frequency of occurrence, e.g. Vietnamese, Japanese •
- Effect of word frequency (real vs nonsense words) on accuracy is not systematic
- Results point towards the importance of cross-linguistic work in typical and atypical phonological development