Information-Theoretic account of the epenthetic vowel in Korean Sung-Hoon Hong Hankuk University of Foreign Studies & Indiana University (hongshoon@hufs.ac.kr)

Introduction

Goldsmith (2001, 2002), and Hume (2004, 2006, 2008), Hume & Bromberg (2005) proposed novel theories of markedness based on Information Theory (Shannon 1948).

• Their idea is that less marked segments or words have less information content.

Hume, Hume & Bromberg proposed Information Content (IC) (context-sensitive, more precisely) to measure the markedness of individual segments.

• The epenthetic vowel of a language has the lowest contextsensitive IC values among all vowels in that language.

Goldsmith proposed Phonological Complexity to address the wellformedness of bigger linguistic units such as words.

- The representational harmony of a word is maximized by minimizing its information complexity (Goldsmith 2002).
- Where there is morphophonemic alternation, the selection of a correct phoneme leads to complexity minimization.

The purpose of this study is to verify:

- whether the epenthetic vowel in Korean is least in information contents as argued by Hume & Bromberg,
- whether the selection of the epenthetic vowel vs. Ø in morphophonemic alternation can be characterized by complexity minimization as suggested by Goldsmith.

Information Theory

The amount of information (or information content) is measured by the unpredictable character or 'probability' that a particular element has within a given system.

The more variation and difference there is for an element, the lower the probability and the higher the information content of the element.

Essential formulas:

(1)
$$IC(x) = -\log_2 P(x)$$

(2) $MI(x, y) = \log_2 \frac{P(xy)}{P(x) \times P(y)}$

How we acquired unigram/bigram probabilities

Unigram (one phoneme) and bigram (two adjacent phonemes) frequencies were obtained from the 21st-Century Sejong Project Morpheme-Tagged Corpus, constructed by the National Institute of the Korean Language (NIKL) from 1999 to 2004.

•This corpus was composed of 769 source files, out of which the files marked as non-contemporary or North Korean were excluded from further consideration.

•12,628,487 tokens of words (1,936,705 in types) were extracted from these files and transcribed into phonemic symbols.

• The frequencies of word forms were counted, based on which unigram and bigram frequency/probability lists were compiled.

(3)
$$|x| = \sum_{i=1}^{V} ([x]_{w_i} \times freq(w_i))$$

(x is a unigram or bigram, w is a word entry, V is the entire vocabulary, $[x]_w$ is the number of times that x occurs in w, and freq(w) is the occurrence of *w*.)

Epenthetic vowel in Information Theory

Hume & Bromberg (2005): epenthetic vowel of a language is lowest in context-sensitive IC of all vowels in that language.

The context-sensitive IC of a vowel is the sum of IC(v) and the Mutual Information (MI) of the vowel with respect to its preceding and following consonants.

(4)
$$IC_{cs}(v) = IC(v) + MI(pC,v) + MI(v, fC)$$

(5) $MI(C,v) = \sum_{k \in C} \log_2 \frac{P(kv)}{P(k) \times P(v)}$
(6) $MI(v,C) = \sum_{k \in C} \log_2 \frac{P(vk)}{P(v) \times P(k)}$

In Korean, the 'epenthetic' vowel is /i/ (Sohn 1987 and Ahn 1998, among others).

• Illegal consonants and consonant clusters in L2 are adapted with /i/-insertion

Christmas [k^hirisimasi], trump [t^hir «mpi], strike [sitiraiki]

• Only $\frac{i}{i}$ show morphophonemic alternation with \emptyset .

	m«k-ɨm ap ^h ɨ-m		_	'eat' 'sick'
cu-ta	cu-m	cu-ni	cu-« ki-«	ʻgive' ʻcrawl'
			pe-«	'cut'

The context-sensitive IC values of Korean vowels were computed, and the result showed that /i/ has the lowest value of contextsensitive IC.

Vowel	Frequency	IC(v)	MI(pC, v)	MI(v, fC)	$IC_{CS}(v)$
i	5559893	4.3423	14.7001	-21.2083	-2.1659
e	2210627	5.6729	4.6581	-4.1774	6.1536
i	5831331	4.2735	4.9605	10.6195	19.8535
0	3931431	4.8423	18.4288	-2.0648	21.2063
«	5979700	4.2372	13.8785	4.1897	22.3054
u	2674162	5.3982	16.7618	0.4044	22.5644
a	9077880	3.6350	22.0551	3.6478	29.3378
æ	1761357	6.0006	19.4430	15.1942	40.6377

Table 1. Contest-sensitive IC values of Korean vowels

Morphophonemic alternation in Information Theory

Goldsmith (2001, 2002): the representational harmony of a word is maximized by minimizing its Phonological Complexity (PC), which is the average IC value of the elements that comprise the word.

(7)
$$PC(x_i \cdots x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n IC(x_i) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log_2 P(x_i)$$

(8) $P(x_n \mid x_{n-1}) = \frac{|x_{n-1}x_n|}{|x_{n-1}|}$

Regarding morphophonemic alternation, Goldsmith(2002:40) suggests that the selection of a correct phoneme leads to PC minimization.

Morphophonemic alternation to be tested: the occurrence of i/v vs. Ø in Korean verb/adjective suffixes

- There are two types: alternating and non-alternating suffixes
- After a V-final stem, suffixes occur without $\frac{1}{4}$.
- After a C-final stem, alternating suffixes take /i/ but nonalternating suffixes appear without $\frac{1}{i}$.

	Suffixes	C-final stems	V-final stems
Alternating	-(i)l«	m«k-il«	cu-l«
suffixes	-(i)my «n	m«k-ɨmy «n	cu-my «n
	-(i)nik'a	m«k-inik'a	cu-nik'a
Non-alternating	-ta	m«k-ta	cu-ta
suffixes	-ko	m«k-ko	cu-ko
	-ciman	m«k-ciman	cu-ciman

Is the selection of $\frac{i}{i}$ or \emptyset in each form driven toward PC minimization?

- The combinations 120 verb/adjective stems (60 C-final and 60 Vfinal) and 6 suffixes (3 alternating, 3 non-alternating) were considered. (Selected stems were 60 most frequent stems in each category according to the frequency list compiled by NIKL (2003))
- For each suffix combination, the PC value of a form with $\frac{1}{4}$ (PC1) was compared to that of a form without $\frac{1}{4}$ (PC2).

The overall results were split:

- After a V-final stem with any of the suffixes considered, or after a C-final stem with an alternating suffix, the selection of i/v vs. Ø indeed conformed to PC minimization (i.e. the forms with smaller PC were selected).
- But after a C-final stem with a non-alternating suffix, PC minimization did not select correct forms consistently: a wrong form with $\frac{1}{4}$ was chosen (-*ta*, -*ko*), or a correct form was selected (-*ciman*) but with so many exceptions (only 46 out of 60 made correct selections).

		V-final stems		C-final stems	
		PC1 - PC2	# of tokens PC1 > PC2	PC1 - PC2	# of tokens PC1 > PC2
Alternating	-1«	0.2089 *	56	-1.9271*	0
	-my «n	0.3586 *	60	-0.6192 *	0
	-nik'a	0.2221 *	58	-0.6883 *	0
Non-altern.	-ta	0.6454 *	60	-0.0427	23
	-ko	0.6225 *	60	-0.2080 *	8
	-ciman	0.5868 *	60	0.1184 *	46

* *p* < .001

Table 2. The comparison between the PC values of a form with /i/ (PC1) and a form without /i/ (PC2)

Why are non-alternating suffixes not amenable to PC minimization?

- There may be several potential reasons.
- Most non-alternating suffixes begin with an obstruent, while most alternating suffixes start with a sonorant (Kim 1989, Hong 2001). From the perspective of sonority-based syllable contact (Vennemann 1988), a syllable-initial obstruent after a consonant has a contact more stable that a syllable-initial sonorant after a consonant, which is usually adjusted by /i/-insertion or assimilation in Korean.
- We have only considered 'phonemic' level. Our information about unigrams and bigrams were extracted from a phonemically transcribed corpus. An analysis based on a phonetically transcribed corpus might suggest a different result.
- The calculation of PC here is based on 'forward' conditional probability. Other methods of probability calculation might yield a different outcome.

Conclusion

By showing that Korean epenthetic vowel is indeed lowest in context-sensitive IC, this research supports Hume & Bromberg's proposal that context-sensitive IC can be a measure for segmental markedness.

Using PC for a measure of phonotactic markedness is partially successful, and a precise formula is yet to come to guarantee the selection of a right phoneme in morphophonemic alternations.

References

- Ahn, Sang-Cheol (1998). An Introduction to Korean Phonology. Seoul, Korea: Hanshin Publishing Goldsmith, John (2001). On information theory, entropy, and phonology in the 20th century. *Folia* Linguistica XXXIV/1-2, 85-100.
- Goldsmith, John (2002). Probabilistic models of grammar: phonology as information minimization. Phonological Studies 5, 21-46.
- Hong, Sung-Hoon (2001). Richness of the Base, Lexicon Optimization, and suffix /i/~ø alternation in Korean. Studies in Phonetics, Phonology, and Morphology 7, 215-242.
- Hume, Elizabeth (2004). Deconstructing markedness: A predictability-based approach. Ms., Ohio State University. Hume, Elizabeth (2006). Language specific and universal markedness: an information-theoretic
- approach. Paper presented at the Colloquium on Information Theory and Phonology, LSA Winter meeting 2006.
- Hume, Elizabeth (2008). Markedness and the Language User. Phonological Studies, vol. 11 Hume, Elizabeth and Ilana Bromberg (2005) .Predicting epenthesis: an information-theoretic account. Paper presented at the 7th Annual Meeting of the French Network of Phonology.
- Kim, Young-Seok (1989). Several problems related to long vowels (written in Korean). Festschrift to Professor Lee Hey-Sook. Seoul: Hanshin Publishing.
- Sohn, Hyang-Sook (1987). Underspecification in Korean Phonology. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.
- Vennemann, Theo (1988). Preference Laws for Syllable Structure. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.