

(Pre-)Algebras for Linguistics

3. Trees

Carl Pollard

Linguistics 680:
Formal Foundations

Autumn 2010

Review of Chains

- Recall that a **chain** is an order where any two distinct elements a and b are comparable (i.e. either $a \sqsubseteq b$ or $b \sqsubseteq a$).

Review of Chains

- Recall that a **chain** is an order where any two distinct elements a and b are comparable (i.e. either $a \sqsubseteq b$ or $b \sqsubseteq a$).
- Recall also that in a chain, a is minimal (maximal) in a subset S iff it is least (greatest) in S .

Theorem 1

Any nonempty finite order has a minimal (and so, by duality, a maximal) member.

Theorem 1

Any nonempty finite order has a minimal (and so, by duality, a maximal) member.

Proof sketch: Let T be the set of natural numbers n such that every ordered set of cardinality $n + 1$ has a minimal member, and show that T is inductive.

Corollary

Any nonempty finite chain has a least (and so, by duality, a greatest) member.

Corollary

Any nonempty finite chain has a least (and so, by duality, a greatest) member.

Proof sketch: This follows from Theorem 1 together with the fact (just reviewed) that in a chain, a member is least (greatest) iff it is minimal (maximal).

Theorem 2

For any natural number n , any chain of cardinality n is order-isomorphic to the usual order on n (i.e. the restriction to n of the usual \leq order on ω).

Theorem 2

For any natural number n , any chain of cardinality n is order-isomorphic to the usual order on n (i.e. the restriction to n of the usual \leq order on ω).

Proof sketch: By induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is trivial.

Theorem 2

For any natural number n , any chain of cardinality n is order-isomorphic to the usual order on n (i.e. the restriction to n of the usual \leq order on ω).

Proof sketch: By induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is trivial.

By inductive hypothesis, assume the statement of the theorem holds for the case $n = k$.

Theorem 2

For any natural number n , any chain of cardinality n is order-isomorphic to the usual order on n (i.e. the restriction to n of the usual \leq order on ω).

Proof sketch: By induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is trivial.

By inductive hypothesis, assume the statement of the theorem holds for the case $n = k$. Let A of cardinality $k + 1$ be a chain with order \sqsubseteq .

Theorem 2

For any natural number n , any chain of cardinality n is order-isomorphic to the usual order on n (i.e. the restriction to n of the usual \leq order on ω).

Proof sketch: By induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is trivial.

By inductive hypothesis, assume the statement of the theorem holds for the case $n = k$. Let A of cardinality $k + 1$ be a chain with order \sqsubseteq . By the Corollary, A has a greatest member a , so there is an order isomorphism f from k to $A \setminus \{a\}$.

Theorem 2

For any natural number n , any chain of cardinality n is order-isomorphic to the usual order on n (i.e. the restriction to n of the usual \leq order on ω).

Proof sketch: By induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is trivial.

By inductive hypothesis, assume the statement of the theorem holds for the case $n = k$. Let A of cardinality $k + 1$ be a chain with order \sqsubseteq . By the Corollary, A has a greatest member a , so there is an order isomorphism f from k to $A \setminus \{a\}$.

The rest of the proof consists of showing that the function $f \cup \{ \langle k + 1, a \rangle \}$ is an order isomorphism.

Theorem 3

If \sqsubseteq is an order on a finite set A , then $\sqsubseteq = \prec^*$. (I.e. a finite order is the reflexive transitive closure of its own covering relation.)

Theorem 3

If \sqsubseteq is an order on a finite set A , then $\sqsubseteq = \prec^*$. (I.e. a finite order is the reflexive transitive closure of its own covering relation.)

Proof sketch: That $\prec^* \subseteq \sqsubseteq$ follows easily from the definition of reflexive transitive closure and the transitivity of \sqsubseteq .

Theorem 3

If \sqsubseteq is an order on a finite set A , then $\sqsubseteq = \prec^*$. (I.e. a finite order is the reflexive transitive closure of its own covering relation.)

Proof sketch: That $\prec^* \subseteq \sqsubseteq$ follows easily from the definition of reflexive transitive closure and the the transitivity of \sqsubseteq .

To prove the reverse inclusion, suppose $a \neq b$, $a \sqsubseteq b$ and let X be the (nonempty, finite) set of all subsets of A which, when ordered by \sqsubseteq , are chains with b as greatest member and a as least member.

Theorem 3

If \sqsubseteq is an order on a finite set A , then $\sqsubseteq = \prec^*$. (I.e. a finite order is the reflexive transitive closure of its own covering relation.)

Proof sketch: That $\prec^* \subseteq \sqsubseteq$ follows easily from the definition of reflexive transitive closure and the the transitivity of \sqsubseteq .

To prove the reverse inclusion, suppose $a \neq b$, $a \sqsubseteq b$ and let X be the (nonempty, finite) set of all subsets of A which, when ordered by \sqsubseteq , are chains with b as greatest member and a as least member. X is nonempty since one of its members is $\{a, b\}$.

Theorem 3

If \sqsubseteq is an order on a finite set A , then $\sqsubseteq = \prec^*$. (I.e. a finite order is the reflexive transitive closure of its own covering relation.)

Proof sketch: That $\prec^* \subseteq \sqsubseteq$ follows easily from the definition of reflexive transitive closure and the transitivity of \sqsubseteq .

To prove the reverse inclusion, suppose $a \neq b$, $a \sqsubseteq b$ and let X be the (nonempty, finite) set of all subsets of A which, when ordered by \sqsubseteq , are chains with b as greatest member and a as least member. X is nonempty since one of its members is $\{a, b\}$. Then X itself is ordered by \subseteq_X , and so by Theorem 1 has a maximal member C .

Theorem 3

If \sqsubseteq is an order on a finite set A , then $\sqsubseteq = \prec^*$. (I.e. a finite order is the reflexive transitive closure of its own covering relation.)

Proof sketch: That $\prec^* \subseteq \sqsubseteq$ follows easily from the definition of reflexive transitive closure and the transitivity of \sqsubseteq .

To prove the reverse inclusion, suppose $a \neq b$, $a \sqsubseteq b$ and let X be the (nonempty, finite) set of all subsets of A which, when ordered by \sqsubseteq , are chains with b as greatest member and a as least member. X is nonempty since one of its members is $\{a, b\}$. Then X itself is ordered by \subseteq_X , and so by Theorem 1 has a maximal member C . Let $n + 1$ be $|C|$; by Theorem 2, there is an order-isomorphism $f: n + 1 \rightarrow C$.

Theorem 3

If \sqsubseteq is an order on a finite set A , then $\sqsubseteq = \prec^*$. (I.e. a finite order is the reflexive transitive closure of its own covering relation.)

Proof sketch: That $\prec^* \subseteq \sqsubseteq$ follows easily from the definition of reflexive transitive closure and the transitivity of \sqsubseteq .

To prove the reverse inclusion, suppose $a \neq b$, $a \sqsubseteq b$ and let X be the (nonempty, finite) set of all subsets of A which, when ordered by \sqsubseteq , are chains with b as greatest member and a as least member. X is nonempty since one of its members is $\{a, b\}$. Then X itself is ordered by \subseteq_X , and so by Theorem 1 has a maximal member C . Let $n + 1$ be $|C|$; by Theorem 2, there is an order-isomorphism $f: n + 1 \rightarrow C$. Clearly $n > 0$, $f(0) = a$, and $f(n) = b$.

Theorem 3

If \sqsubseteq is an order on a finite set A , then $\sqsubseteq = \prec^*$. (I.e. a finite order is the reflexive transitive closure of its own covering relation.)

Proof sketch: That $\prec^* \subseteq \sqsubseteq$ follows easily from the definition of reflexive transitive closure and the transitivity of \sqsubseteq .

To prove the reverse inclusion, suppose $a \neq b$, $a \sqsubseteq b$ and let X be the (nonempty, finite) set of all subsets of A which, when ordered by \sqsubseteq , are chains with b as greatest member and a as least member. X is nonempty since one of its members is $\{a, b\}$. Then X itself is ordered by \subseteq_X , and so by Theorem 1 has a maximal member C . Let $n + 1$ be $|C|$; by Theorem 2, there is an order-isomorphism $f: n + 1 \rightarrow C$. Clearly $n > 0$, $f(0) = a$, and $f(n) = b$. Also, for each $m < n$, $f(m) \prec f(m + 1)$, because otherwise, there would be a c properly between $f(m)$ and $f(m + 1)$, contradicting the maximality of C .

A **tree** is a finite set A with an order \sqsubseteq and a top \top , such that the covering relation \prec is a function with domain $A \setminus \{\top\}$.

Tree Terminology

Tree Terminology

- The members of A are called the **nodes** of the tree.

Tree Terminology

- The members of A are called the **nodes** of the tree.
- \top is called the **root**.

Tree Terminology

- The members of A are called the **nodes** of the tree.
- \top is called the **root**.
- If $x \sqsubseteq y$, y is said to **dominate** x ; and if additionally $x \neq y$, then y is said to **properly dominate** x .

Tree Terminology

- The members of A are called the **nodes** of the tree.
- \top is called the **root**.
- If $x \sqsubseteq y$, y is said to **dominate** x ; and if additionally $x \neq y$, then y is said to **properly dominate** x .
- If $x \prec y$, then y is said to **immediately dominate** x ; $y = \prec(x)$ is called the **mother** of x ; and x is said to be a **daughter** of y .

Tree Terminology

- The members of A are called the **nodes** of the tree.
- \top is called the **root**.
- If $x \sqsubseteq y$, y is said to **dominate** x ; and if additionally $x \neq y$, then y is said to **properly dominate** x .
- If $x \prec y$, then y is said to **immediately dominate** x ; $y = \prec(x)$ is called the **mother** of x ; and x is said to be a **daughter** of y .
- Distinct nodes with the same mother are called **sisters**.

Tree Terminology

- The members of A are called the **nodes** of the tree.
- \top is called the **root**.
- If $x \sqsubseteq y$, y is said to **dominate** x ; and if additionally $x \neq y$, then y is said to **properly dominate** x .
- If $x \prec y$, then y is said to **immediately dominate** x ; $y = \prec(x)$ is called the **mother** of x ; and x is said to be a **daughter** of y .
- Distinct nodes with the same mother are called **sisters**.
- A minimal node (i.e. one with no daughters) is called a **terminal** node.

Tree Terminology

- The members of A are called the **nodes** of the tree.
- \top is called the **root**.
- If $x \sqsubseteq y$, y is said to **dominate** x ; and if additionally $x \neq y$, then y is said to **properly dominate** x .
- If $x \prec y$, then y is said to **immediately dominate** x ; $y = \prec(x)$ is called the **mother** of x ; and x is said to be a **daughter** of y .
- Distinct nodes with the same mother are called **sisters**.
- A minimal node (i.e. one with no daughters) is called a **terminal** node.
- A node which is the mother of a terminal node is called a **preterminal** node.

Theorem 4

In a tree, no node can dominate one of its sisters.

Theorem 4

In a tree, no node can dominate one of its sisters.

Proof: Exercise.

Theorem 5 (1/2)

For any node a in a tree, $\uparrow a$ is a chain.

Theorem 5 (1/2)

For any node a in a tree, $\uparrow a$ is a chain.

Proof sketch: Use the RT to define a function $h: \omega \rightarrow A$, with $X = A$, $x = a$, and F the function which maps non-root nodes to their mothers and the root to itself.

Theorem 5 (1/2)

For any node a in a tree, $\uparrow a$ is a chain.

Proof sketch: Use the RT to define a function $h: \omega \rightarrow A$, with $X = A$, $x = a$, and F the function which maps non-root nodes to their mothers and the root to itself. Now let $Y = \text{ran}(h)$; it is easy to see that Y is a chain, and that $Y \subseteq \uparrow a$.

Theorem 5 (2/2)

It remains to show that $\uparrow a \subseteq Y$.

Theorem 5 (2/2)

It remains to show that $\uparrow a \subseteq Y$. So assume $b \in \uparrow a$; we have to show $b \in Y$.

Theorem 5 (2/2)

It remains to show that $\uparrow a \subseteq Y$. So assume $b \in \uparrow a$; we have to show $b \in Y$.

By definition of $\uparrow a$, $a \sqsubseteq b$, and so by Theorem 3, $a \prec^* b$.

Theorem 5 (2/2)

It remains to show that $\uparrow a \subseteq Y$. So assume $b \in \uparrow a$; we have to show $b \in Y$.

By definition of $\uparrow a$, $a \sqsubseteq b$, and so by Theorem 3, $a \prec^* b$. From this and the definition of reflexive transitive closure, it follows that there is a natural number n such that $a \prec_n b$, where \prec_n is the n -fold composition of \prec with itself.

Theorem 5 (2/2)

It remains to show that $\uparrow a \subseteq Y$. So assume $b \in \uparrow a$; we have to show $b \in Y$.

By definition of $\uparrow a$, $a \sqsubseteq b$, and so by Theorem 3, $a \prec^* b$. From this and the definition of reflexive transitive closure, it follows that there is a natural number n such that $a \prec_n b$, where \prec_n is the n -fold composition of \prec with itself. In other words, there is an A -string $a_0 \dots a_n$ such that $a_0 = a$, $a_n = b$, and for each $k < n$, $a_k \prec a_{k+1}$.

Theorem 5 (2/2)

It remains to show that $\uparrow a \subseteq Y$. So assume $b \in \uparrow a$; we have to show $b \in Y$.

By definition of $\uparrow a$, $a \sqsubseteq b$, and so by Theorem 3, $a \prec^* b$. From this and the definition of reflexive transitive closure, it follows that there is a natural number n such that $a \prec_n b$, where \prec_n is the n -fold composition of \prec with itself. In other words, there is an A -string $a_0 \dots a_n$ such that $a_0 = a$, $a_n = b$, and for each $k < n$, $a_k \prec a_{k+1}$. But then $b = h(n)$, so $b \in Y$.

Corollary

Two distinct nodes in a tree have a glb iff they are comparable.

Corollary

Two distinct nodes in a tree have a glb iff they are comparable.

Proof: Exercise.

Theorem 6

Any two nodes have a lub (and so a tree is a join semilattice).

Theorem 6

Any two nodes have a lub (and so a tree is a join semilattice).

Proof: Exercise.

Ordered Trees

- An **ordered tree** is a set A with *two* orders \sqsubseteq and \leq , such that the following three conditions are satisfied:
 - A is a tree with respect to \sqsubseteq .

Ordered Trees

- An **ordered tree** is a set A with *two* orders \sqsubseteq and \leq , such that the following three conditions are satisfied:
 - A is a tree with respect to \sqsubseteq .
 - Two distinct nodes are \leq -comparable iff they are not \sqsubseteq comparable.

Ordered Trees

- An **ordered tree** is a set A with *two* orders \sqsubseteq and \leq , such that the following three conditions are satisfied:
 - A is a tree with respect to \sqsubseteq .
 - Two distinct nodes are \leq -comparable iff they are not \sqsubseteq comparable.
 - (No-tangling condition) If a, b, c, d are nodes such that $a < b$, $c \prec a$, and $d \prec b$, then $c < d$.

Ordered Trees

- An **ordered tree** is a set A with *two* orders \sqsubseteq and \leq , such that the following three conditions are satisfied:
 - A is a tree with respect to \sqsubseteq .
 - Two distinct nodes are \leq -comparable iff they are not \sqsubseteq comparable.
 - (No-tangling condition) If a, b, c, d are nodes such that $a < b$, $c \prec a$, and $d \prec b$, then $c < d$.
- In an ordered tree, if $a < b$, then a is said to **linearly precede** b .

Theorem 7

If a is a node in an ordered tree, then the set of daughters of a ordered by \leq is a chain.

Theorem 7

If a is a node in an ordered tree, then the set of daughters of a ordered by \leq is a chain.

Proof: Exercise.

Theorem 8

In an ordered tree, the set of terminal nodes ordered by \leq is a chain.

Theorem 8

In an ordered tree, the set of terminal nodes ordered by \leq is a chain.

Proof: Exercise.

CFG Review

- Recall that a **CFG** is an ordered quadruple $\langle T, N, D, P \rangle$ where
 - T is a finite set called the **terminals**;
 - N is a finite set called **nonterminals**
 - D is a finite subset of $N \times T$ called the **lexical entries**;
 - P is a finite subset of $N \times N^+$ called the **phrase structure rules** (PSRs).

CFG Review

- Recall that a **CFG** is an ordered quadruple $\langle T, N, D, P \rangle$ where
 - T is a finite set called the **terminals**;
 - N is a finite set called **nonterminals**
 - D is a finite subset of $N \times T$ called the **lexical entries**;
 - P is a finite subset of $N \times N^+$ called the **phrase structure rules** (PSRs).
- Recall also these notational conventions:
 - ' $A \rightarrow t$ ' means $\langle A, t \rangle \in D$.
 - ' $A \rightarrow A_0 \dots A_{n-1}$ ' means $\langle A, A_0 \dots A_{n-1} \rangle \in P$.
 - ' $A \rightarrow \{s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$ ' abbreviates $A \rightarrow s_i$ ($i < n$).

Phrase Structures for a CFG

- A **phrase structure** for a CFG $\mathbf{G} = \langle T, N, D, P \rangle$ is an ordered tree together with a **labelling** function \mathbf{l} from the nodes to $T \cup N$ such that, for each node a ,
 - $\mathbf{l}(a) \in T$ if a is a terminal node, and
 - $\mathbf{l}(a) \in N$ otherwise.

Phrase Structures for a CFG

- A **phrase structure** for a CFG $\mathbf{G} = \langle T, N, D, P \rangle$ is an ordered tree together with a **labelling** function \mathbf{l} from the nodes to $T \cup N$ such that, for each node a ,
 - $\mathbf{l}(a) \in T$ if a is a terminal node, and
 - $\mathbf{l}(a) \in N$ otherwise.
- Given a phrase structure with linearly ordered (as per Theorem 8) set of terminal nodes a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} with labels t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} respectively, the string $t_0 \dots t_{n-1}$ is called the **terminal yield** of the phrase structure.

Weak and Strong Generative Capacity

- A phrase structure tree is **generated** by the CFG $\mathbf{G} = \langle T, N, D, P \rangle$ iff
 - for each preterminal node with label A and (terminal) daughter with label t , $A \rightarrow t \in D$; and
 - for each nonterminal nonpreterminal node with label A and linearly ordered (as per Theorem 7) daughters with labels A_0, \dots, A_{n-1} respectively, $(n > 0)$, $A \rightarrow A_0 \dots A_{n-1} \in P$.

Weak and Strong Generative Capacity

- A phrase structure tree is **generated** by the CFG $\mathbf{G} = \langle T, N, D, P \rangle$ iff
 - for each preterminal node with label A and (terminal) daughter with label t , $A \rightarrow t \in D$; and
 - for each nonterminal nonpreterminal node with label A and linearly ordered (as per Theorem 7) daughters with labels A_0, \dots, A_{n-1} respectively, $(n > 0)$, $A \rightarrow A_0 \dots A_{n-1} \in P$.
- The **strong generative capacity** of \mathbf{G} is the set of phrase structures that it generates.

Weak and Strong Generative Capacity

- A phrase structure tree is **generated** by the CFG $\mathbf{G} = \langle T, N, D, P \rangle$ iff
 - for each preterminal node with label A and (terminal) daughter with label t , $A \rightarrow t \in D$; and
 - for each nonterminal nonpreterminal node with label A and linearly ordered (as per Theorem 7) daughters with labels A_0, \dots, A_{n-1} respectively, ($n > 0$), $A \rightarrow A_0 \dots A_{n-1} \in P$.
- The **strong generative capacity** of \mathbf{G} is the set of phrase structures that it generates.
- The **weak generative capacity** of \mathbf{G} is the function $\mathbf{wgc} : N \rightarrow T^*$ that maps each nonterminal symbol A to the set of T -strings which are terminal yields of phrase structures generated by \mathbf{G} with root label A .