

PROBLEM SET ONE: SETS

Background

In practical terms, sets can be considered the most basic mathematical entities, in the sense that the structures and systems used as idealized representations of linguistic phenomena are defined in terms of them. We can begin to develop the kinds of skills used in careful linguistic argumentation by proving assertions about sets, based on the assumptions we made about sets in Chapter 1. In this setting, “prove” means to give a careful, persuasive, valid argument in English. You can use upper or lower case italic letters as variables (e.g. ‘for any set A ’); and you can introduce names for specific sets. But for the time being, in your arguments, please do **not** use logic-symbol abbreviations for ‘and’, ‘or’, ‘implies’, ‘if ... then’, ‘iff’, ‘it is not the case that’, ‘for all x ’, ‘there exists x such that’, ‘there exists unique x such that’, etc. (This prohibition will be removed in Chapter 2, where we make clear exactly how these symbols are to be used.)

Some of the assertions were already proved in class, so if you took good notes, you may just be able to reconstruct the arguments given there. But it is not necessary to do so; you can just as well give an original argument, as long as it’s valid.

For now, don’t be too worried if you’re not sure what kind of argumentation counts as ‘valid’: until we develop some of the logical tools for making this notion precise, you can take it to mean something like ‘knockdown’, ‘irrefutable’, or ‘totally persuasive to any sane and reasonable person’.

For these problems, use only the *first five* of the assumptions in Chapter One (i.e. do not use Separation).

I am a few days behind getting this problem set to you because I was swamped with meetings last week. So you can have till midnight Thursday (Oct. 9) to submit it by e-mail. (Of course you can also hand it in in person in class on Wednesday.)

Problem 1

Prove that for any sets a , b , and c , there is a set whose only members are a , b , and c . (Note: this way of wording the problem is *not* intended to imply that a , b , and c are necessarily distinct from each other.)

Problem 2

Prove the assertions (made without proof in Chapter 1) that 1 is the successor of 0 and that 2 is the successor of 1.

Problem 3

Prove that 0, 1, and 2 are all distinct (i.e. that no two of them are equal). (Note: it won't work to argue that no number is equal to its successor, because there is no valid proof of that assertion from the assumptions we have made so far).

Problem 4

What is the powerset of 4? Your answer should use the curly-brackets notation, with the names of the members separated by commas, in any order, but without any repetitions (that is, there should be one fewer commas than there are members). (Note: you are not required to prove anything here.)

Problem 5

How many members does $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ have? What are they? (Note: You will have to use the definition of ordered pair. You are not required to prove anything here.)

Problem 6

Prove that for any sets a , b , c , and d , if $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle c, d \rangle$, then $a = c$ and $b = d$. (Hint: notice that either $a = b$ or not, so you can split the proof into two cases.)