

#### **Belief verbs are pragmatically ambiguous Experiment 1: tunes in isolation** Hz. ms. (Hz) 300-594.28 209.87 word 136.35 It's a L-L% 281.78 think 254.50 belief 629.67 249.97 word B: I think it's a tiger. Q 137.97 it's a think 303.65 257.35 (Hz) 653.42 146.94 word 9 hedge 233.41 B: I think it's a tiger. it's a think 309.12 353.69 613.02 139.92 word ZH 200 248.46 L+H\* H-L% 280.03 310.07 think f0 (Hz) 598.79 152.51 word 424.62 it's a I \* H-H%





# Depending on the context, mental state verbs can - indicate that someone holds a belief without necessarily committing to its truth (1) A: What is this? - have a parenthetical interpretation, politely softening an assertion (Rooryck 2001, Simons 2007) (2) A: It's a lion. Pragmatic hypothesis for explaining why children have difficulty with belief verbs



### Lewis et al. 2013

| QUD    | (Where is Swiper?)          |
|--------|-----------------------------|
| Exp:   | Dora thinks that Swiper is  |
|        | behind the toy box.         |
| Child: | No—he's behind the curtain! |

Does prosody help distinguishing these two uses?

Kurumada (2013) provides evidence that prosody helps in a similar pragmatic contrast: *It looks like a ZEBRA* (and is) vs. *It LOOKS like a zebra* (but isn't)

Experiment 1 tests 5 tunes in isolation for how certain the speaker sounds.

Experiment 2 tests whether listeners used the prosodic differences when determining a speaker's intent in context.

Thanks to Laura Wagner for her big help on this project, to Laurie Maynell and Julie McGory for the recordings, and to our RAs Marissa Granitto, Faith Stagge and Claire Shank.

## "I think it's a tiger": Does prosody help distinguish different uses of belief verbs? Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, Micha Elsner and Shari R. Speer {mcdm,melsner,speer}@ling.osu.edu • The Ohio State University

### **Experiment 2: tunes in context**

### 6 conditions, balancing speaker order

|   |        |                             | i      |           |
|---|--------|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| 1 | Diane: | Look! There's a tiger.      | Betty: | lťs a li  |
| 2 | Diane: | Look! There's a tiger.      | Betty: | I think   |
| 3 | Diane: | Look! I think it's a tiger? | Betty: | lťs a li  |
| 4 | Betty: | Look! There's a tiger.      | Diane: | lťs a li  |
| 5 | Betty: | Look! There's a tiger.      | Diane: | I think   |
| 6 | Betty: | Look! I think it's a tiger? | Diane: | It's a li |









Adult participants were asked who was correct, and who was nicer (N = 24; 18 trials, 3 in each condition)

- For bare assertions, we expect no preference for who is correct or nicer.
- Where one uses uncertain-belief prosody, we expect listeners to trust the other more.
- Parenthetical *think*, which is used to make claims, should pattern like bare assertions, but rated nicer.

Prosody disambiguates the two uses of *think*. Listeners recognize the tunes and apply them in social context. They make pragmatic inferences to judge speaker commitment, and the intent to be polite.





