

Durational correlates of prosodic categories: The case of two Korean voiceless coronal fricatives

Kyuchul Yoon

Department of Linguistics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA

Abstract

This paper is a production study of the effects of Korean prosody on two voiceless coronal fricatives /s^h/ and /s^{*}/. The target segments were embedded in four prosodic positions: initial to the Intonational Phrase or the Accentual Phrase, and medial to the Accentual Phrase or to the Prosodic Word. Acoustic measurements showed that the durational differences associated with the /s^h/ versus /s^{*}/ contrast vary in magnitude in different prosodic positions, confirming the proposal that segmental properties are affected by prosodic categories. This suggests that any speech synthesizer should take into consideration prosodically conditioned durational variation.

Key words: Korean, Duration, Prosody, Fricative

1 Introduction

It is well known that speech segments show variation from one position to another in a word or stress foot. In the study of allophony, many studies have focused on word-level environments, e.g. whether the target segment is word initial or medial, or whether the syllable containing the segment is stressed or not. It is true that speech segments show systematic variation relative to their word-level position and the location of stress, but as will be shown later, the range of such variation is not limited to word-level prosody.

There is increasing evidence from a number of languages that these systematic allophonic differences extend to levels higher than that of the word. Depending on their level in the prosodic hierarchy of a language, speech segments vary according to their prosodic position. Prosodic categories such as the accentual phrase in Korean have been shown to act as the domain of application of such

rules as post-obstruent tensing and vowel shortening [Jun, 1998]. Other studies have shown that segmental properties of Korean coronal stops [Cho and Keating, 2001] and fricatives [Kim, 2001] are affected by higher prosodic domains. For American English, prosodic effects have been found for segments including /h/ and glottal stop [Pierrehumbert and Talkin, 1992] and /z/ [Smith, 1997].

The current study examines the production of the two Korean coronal fricatives /s^h/ (aspirated or lax) and /s^{*}/ (tense or fortis) embedded in different prosodic categories. Two questions are considered: Is the role of segmental properties that distinguish one fricative from the other invariant across different prosodic positions? Do the acoustic cues that distinguish the two fricatives in isolation contribute to the same extent to the distinction of the fricatives embedded in different prosodic positions? By examining the two fricatives in different positions in Korean prosodic hierarchy, we will gain insight into how segmental properties adapt to different prosodic positions.




Fig. 1. Prosodic hierarchy of Seoul Korean. Arrows indicate the positions where target segments were embedded in carrier conversations. The curve represents the schematized pitch contour of an utterance.

1.1 Prosodic hierarchy of Seoul Korean

Figure 1 is an illustration of the prosodic hierarchy of Seoul Korean. There are two tonally defined prosodic categories above the level of the prosodic word (PW) in Korean, i.e. the intonational phrase (IP) and the accentual phrase (AP) [Jun, 1993]. The arrows indicate the four prosodic positions in which the two target fricatives in the experiment were placed. Target fricative

segments were embedded in (1) IP-initial, (2) AP-initial (but not IP-initial), (3) AP-medial (but PW-initial), and (4) PW-medial position.

2 Methods

2.1 Stimuli

A novel approach was used to devise the carrier sentences. Rather than having the speakers repeat citation form sentences, we have created “carrier conversations” where two speakers act out a conversation. This approach produced speech that is more natural than read speech and allows the researcher to control the production of target sequences.

Two prosody-carrier conversations were devised to create situations where the desired prosody would be generated. In order to put the target segments in AP-initial versus AP-medial position, two strategies were employed to exploit predictable prosodic phrasing choices. The first involved the word *nuka*, which can be either an interrogative pronoun (‘who’) or an indefinite (‘someone’) depending on the phrasing of the pronoun-predicate sequence. The second elicited contrastive narrow focus (a correction).

The first strategy used two different types of questions; a *wh*-question and a yes/no question containing the indefinite pronoun *nuka*. An excerpt from one of the example conversations is given in Figure 2.

Jun ([Jun and Oh, 1996] established that speakers use different phrasing to disambiguate the two types of sentences, putting an AP boundary after *nuka* for yes/no questions but grouping following material into the same AP as *nuka* for the other type of question. Thus a string such as *nuka oni?* can be either a *wh*-question (‘who’s coming?’) or a yes/no question (‘Is anyone coming?’). As shown in Figure 3, speakers use different phrasing to disambiguate the two types of sentence, putting an AP boundary after *nuka* for the yes/no question in the first utterance but grouping following material into the same AP as *nuka* for the *wh*-question in the second utterance.

Two example pitch tracks from the first prosody-carrier conversation in Figure 5 shows that the speaker produced an AP-initial and an AP-medial utterance as intended. In the upper panel of Figure 5, as the arrow indicates, the target segment /s^h/ starts a new AP, thus occupying an AP-initial position, whereas in the lower panel, the target segment (arrow) is in the middle of an AP.

(철수와 선희가, 손수 포장을 할 경우 추가 할인을 해주는 상점으로 들어가면서)		
(Cholsoo and Sunhee enter a store that gives additional discounts for self-wrapping)		
선희: 철수야!	<u>사/싸</u> 가는	사람들한테 <u>할인</u> 을 해주대!
Sunhee: [ʧʰals*ujal]	[{ s ^h a/s*aka-nin	s ^h acantil-hante}]
	ʧʰals*u-PAR.	buy/wrap-REL people.to give.discounts-PAR.
	<i>Cholsoo! They say they give discounts to those who buy/wrap.</i>	
(마음에 드는 물건을 보며)		
(Looking at the item that she wants to buy)		
이거	누가	<u>사/싸</u> 가기로 되어 있나요?
[{ikə}]	{nuka}	{s ^h a/s*aka-kico toe in-najo?}
	this	someone buy/wrap.be.supposed.to-PAR.
	<i>Is someone supposed to buy/wrap this?</i>	
점원: 예,	어떤 분이	<u>사/싸</u> 가기로 했는데요.
Clerk: je	ətʰən pumi	s ^h a/s*akakico hemintejo?
	yes	certain person buy/wrap.be.supposed.to-PAR.
	<i>Yes, a person is supposed to buy/wrap it.</i>	
선희: 아, 그래요?		
Sunhee: a	kirejo?	
	<i>Oh, is that so?</i>	
그럼	누가	<u>사/싸</u> 가기로 되어 있나요?
[{kirem}]	{nuka	s ^h a/s*aka-kico toe in-najo?}
	then	who buy/wrap.be.supposed.to-PAR.
	<i>Then, who is supposed to buy/wrap it?</i>	
혹시	영미라는	분 아닌가요?
[{hoks*i}]	{jəymi-ranin	pun} {anim-kajo?}
	by.any.chance	a jəymi person not-PAR.
	<i>Is s/he by any chance a Youngmee?</i>	
(과자를 파는 코너로 가서, 이것 저것 살펴보다가)		
(Approaching to a bakery section and browsing through it)		
선희: 근데,	이 과자 포장지에	'바삭/바싹'이라고 왜 써있죠?
Sunhee [kinte]	[{i} {kwača	pʰočajcie}]
	[{pas ^h ak/pas*ak-icako}	{we s*ic*o?}]
	by.the.way this	cookie wrapper-on crisp/parched-PAR. why written-Q.
	<i>By the way, why is crisp/parched written on this cookie wrapping paper?</i>	
점원: 글썽요,	모르겠는데요?	
Clerk: [kils*ejo]	[{mocikemintejo}]	
	well,	don't.know-PAR.
	<i>Well, I don't know.</i>	

Fig. 2. Excerpt from the first prosody-carrier conversation. [] indicates intonational phrases IP, and { } accentual phrases AP. Target segments and the following vowels are underlined.

[{ikə}] _{AP}	{nuka}	{s ^h aka-kico	toe in-najo?}	IP
	this	someone	buy.be.supposed.to-PAR.	
	<i>Is someone supposed to buy/wrap this?</i>			
[{kirem}] _{AP}	{nuka	s ^h aka-kico	toe in-najo?}	AP]IP
	then	who	buy.be.supposed.to-PAR.	
	<i>Then, who is supposed to buy/wrap it?</i>			

Fig. 3. *nuka* as either an indefinite ‘someone’ or an interrogative pronoun ‘who’

The second strategy, adapted from [Jun et al., 1997], involves a short conversation, designed to elicit contrastive narrow focus. An excerpt from this

(엄마를 따라 시장에 나온 딸에게, 해물을 파는 가게 앞에서)
(A mom and her daughter at a seafood market)

엄마: 민희야, '사가/싸가'는 방법은 알지?	IP-initial
Mom: [{}minija!{}] [{}sʰaka/s*aka-nin{}] [{}pajpəpin{}] [{}alci?{}]	
minhee-PAR. buy/wrap-ADJ. method-TOP. know-Q.	
<i>Minhee! You know how to buy/wrap, don't you?</i>	
딸: 그럼 내가 '사가/싸가'라구?	AP-initial
Daughter: [{}kɪrəm{}] [{}neka{}] [{}sʰaka/s*aka-raku?{}]	
then I buy/wrap-Q.	
<i>Then, you want me to buy/wrap it?</i>	
엄마: 아니, 내가 사간/싸간'다니 까?	AP-medial
Mom: [{}ani.{}] [{}neka sʰakan/s*akan-tanik*a{}]	
No, I buy/wrap-PAR.	
<i>No, 'I am going to buy/wrap it.</i>	
방법을 알고 있는지 그냥 물어본 거야.	
pajpəpil alko inninči kinjanɯ murəponkəja	
method-ACC. know-PAR. without.reason ask-PAR.	
<i>I just asked if you know how to do it</i>	
딸: 근데, 이 해물 포장지에 '바삭/바싹'이라고 왜 써있지?	PW-medial
Daughter: [{}kɪnte{}] [{}i{}] [{}hemul počajcie{}] [{}pasʰak/pas*ak-irako{}] [{}we s*əič*ɪ?{}]	
by.the.way this seafood.wrapper-POST. crisp/parched-PAR. why written-Q.	
<i>By the way, why is 'crisp/parched' written on this seafood wrapping paper?</i>	

Fig. 4. Excerpt from the second prosody carrier conversation. [] indicates intonational phrases IP, and {} accentual phrases AP. Target segments and the following vowels are underlined.

conversation is shown in shown in Figure 4. Square brackets indicate intonational phrases (IP) and curly braces accentual phrases (AP). Target segments and following vowels are underlined.

2.2 Participants

Six native speakers of Seoul Korean (three men and three women) participated in the recording.

2.3 Procedures

Three groups, each consisting of two speakers, enacted the two prosody-carrier conversations until each pair produced ten (five for each member of the group) clear repetitions of each sentence. The total number of tokens was 480 (6 (subjects) × 2 (prosody-carrier conversations) × 2 (fricatives) × 4 (prosodic positions) × 5 (repetitions) = 480).




Fig. 5. AP-initial and AP-medial utterance from the second prosody-carrier conversation where the target segment $/s^h/$ (arrow) is embedded in AP-initial position (upper panel) and in AP-medial position (lower panel).

2.4 Analyses

The labeled utterances were inspected to confirm that participants had produced the predicted prosodic phrasings. Indeed, for the two types of conversations, participants always produced the target segments in the expected prosodic positions. The success of this prosodic phrasing manipulation is illustrated in Figure 5. There is a phrase break after *nuka* in the upper panel of Figure 5, indicating that the pronoun was used as an indefinite, but not in the lower panel of Figure 5, indicating that the pronoun-verb sequence belongs to the same phrasing. The underlined target segments $/s^h/$ and $/s^*/$ were thus embedded AP-initially in the upper panel and AP-medially in the lower panel of Figure 5.

2.5 Measurements

Durations were measured for the following sections of the target segment and adjacent vowels: fricative, aspiration noise segment, preceding vowel segment, and following vowel segment.

2.6 Duration measurements

All segment onsets and offsets were labeled. For most segmentation decisions, standard criteria were used. The most difficult segmentation point - the boundary between the fricative noise and aspiration noise - was determined as follows. In order to achieve this segmentation, I wrote a Praat (version 4.0) script to repeat what a trained phonetician would do for a set of spectrograms from a particular speaker. The script performed the following steps.




Fig. 6. (Top panel) Plot of $\sum E_H / \sum E_L$ change for successive frames of spectra. The vertical line indicates the point where $\sum E_H / \sum E_L$ crosses the criterion value, whose x intercept was taken as the end of fricative and start of aspiration. (Bottom left panel) A slice was selected for spectral analysis. A series of spectra were made in the direction of the arrow. (Bottom right panel) After a series of comparison of the ratio, a dividing line (the second vertical line in the bottom tier) was drawn.

First, spectra were made every 5 ms with a 5 ms-Hamming window from the start of fricative to the vowel onset. Each spectrum was divided into a high frequency band and a low frequency band across a variable frequency value that was pre-determined by examining many spectra for each speaker (a value which, once determined, was consistent for each speaker). In most cases, the

frequency value corresponded to the lower cutoff frequency of the fricative segment.

The amplitude values within each of the two bands were summed. The ratio of high-frequency band to low-frequency band, $\sum E_H / \sum E_L$, was used as an indicator of the relative ratio of the turbulence energy generated by air passing through the coronal constriction to the energy generated by air passing through the open glottis. This ratio was examined for each successive spectrum starting at the beginning of the fricative noise until the point at which the ratio fell below the criterion value (See Figure 6). This point was interpreted to reflect the point at which there was a shift in the location of the noise source; that is, the end of frication and the start of aspiration. This is illustrated in Figure 6 for a sample token by Speaker f1.

As shown in Figure 6, after scanning through the spectra, the script inserted a dividing line into a labeling tier. The criterion value had to be determined by trial and error. If a certain criterion value drew an inappropriate line between the two noise segments, the value was adjusted until the dividing line was drawn at the place one would choose manually.

3 Results




Fig. 7. Average duration of fricative, aspiration, and vowel segment of five repetitions of target utterances in the first prosody-carrier conversation for Speaker f3. Segments are arranged in reference to the aspiration noise onset.

The average durations in the first prosody-carrier conversation of Speaker f3 are given in Figure 7. For the set of /s^h/’s in the four prosodic positions, it appears that the fricative and aspiration noise duration tend to decrease as the strength of the prosodic boundary decreases (IP-initial, AP-initial, AP-medial, PW-medial). For this speaker, the fricative noise duration roughly divides IP-initial /s^h/ from the rest of /s^h/’s. Taking the aspiration noise duration and following vowel duration into account, the three /s^h/’s in positions other than the one in IP-initial position can also be divided into two groups, AP tokens versus PW-medial token. Following vowel duration is shorter for AP tokens than for PW-medial tokens.

For /s*/ tokens in the four prosodic positions, the fricative noise duration was longest for PW-medial token. This is consistent with the results of a previous study [Oh and Johnson, 1997], which analyzed tense stops as geminate. Mira found that, among “degeminated” positions, fricative duration was longest in IP-initial position. Given this, one way of characterizing the biggest effect of prosodic position would be to posit that tense fricatives are geminate PW-medially and aspirated fricatives are more aspirated IP-initially.

If we look at the two fricatives /s^h/ and /s*/ in each prosodic position, the fricative and aspiration noise duration seem to play an important role. The difference in fricative noise duration becomes the largest in PW-medial position. Here the /s^h/ versus /s*/ contrast appears to be mainly a function of fricative noise duration.

It seems as if the decrease in the aspiration noise duration of the /s^h/ in the PW-medial position was compensated for by the increase in the fricative noise duration of /s*/ in that same position. In addition, the decrease of fricative noise duration in the /s^h/ in the PW-medial position ends up augmenting the long fricative noise duration of /s*/ in PW-medial position, a possible ‘dual’ action, making the difference in fricative noise duration a more convincing acoustic parameter for the contrast in PW-medial position.

Overall, the differentiation of the two fricatives is maintained throughout the four prosodic positions despite changes in the acoustic parameters involved.

Therefore we can tentatively hypothesize that while a primary cue is the amount of aspiration noise for the distinction of /s^h/ and /s*/ in IP-initial position, confirming my earlier study [Yoon, 1999], a better differentiated cue for the distinction between the /s^h/ and /s*/ in PW-medial position is fricative noise duration.

The scatterplot of durations for all speakers in the first prosody-carrier conversation is given in Figure 8. Each data point is a plot of the average of a pair of five repetitions of /s^h/ token (x-axis) and /s*/ token (y-axis). As can be seen from the groupings of data points, at least three groups of data




Fig. 8. Scatterplots of duration for all subjects in the first prosody-carrier conversation.

points (IP-initial, AP, and PW-medial) are distinct from each other, that is, /s^h/ versus /s^{*}/ contrast in the three prosodic positions is maintained for all tokens from the first conversation.

Even if we look at each fricative across different prosodic positions, duration alone can signal prosodic positions in most cases. The scatterplots for the second prosody-carrier conversation show roughly the same pattern as the first prosody-carrier conversation in Figure 8. Overall, the scatterplots for all tokens showed us that the durational parameter can be effective both in signaling prosodic positions of either /s^h/ or /s^{*}/ tokens, and in contrasting the two fricatives in different prosodic positions.

The duration of the entire noise portion (the fricative portion plus the aspiration portion) is plotted in Figure 9. In IP-initial position (filled squares),




Fig. 9. Scatterplot of entire noise duration (fricative + aspiration duration) for all subjects.

the noise duration for /s^h/ is greater than that for /s^{*}/, while in PW-medial position (filled circles), the duration for /s^{*}/ is longer. The noise duration for AP-initial and AP-medial lies somewhere in between. Thus the relative duration of the entire noise portion appears to be effective in signalling prosodic positions.

Statistical analyses were performed based on two factor ANOVAs (by fricative type and prosodic position). As Table 10 shows, the fricative duration, aspiration duration, whole noise duration, and following vowel duration all seem to be effective cues in signalling the contrast between the two fricatives.

Main effect of two factors on	p < 0.001	Factor I	Significant interaction
		Factor II	
Fricative duration	Yes	F[1,472]=1286.88	Yes
		F[3,472]=100.89	
Aspiration duration	Yes	F[1,472]=590.48	Yes
		F[3, 472]=98.09	
Noise duration (fricative + aspiration)	Yes	F[1,472]=190.91	Yes
		F[3,472]=102.03	
Following vowel duration	Yes	F[1,472]=170.02	Yes
		F[3,472]=53.70	
Preceding vowel duration	No		No
	Yes	F[2,61]=19.03	

Fig. 10. Two factor, fricative type (I) x prosodic position (II), ANOVA with the significance level of $p < 0.001$.

The interaction of the two factors on the fricative and aspiration duration

evident in the table results from the fact that the two fricatives show the opposite pattern (Figure 11). That is, in the IP-initial position, the fricative durational difference becomes smaller while in PW-medial position, the aspiration durational difference becomes smaller. For the following vowel duration, the interaction stems from the decreasing durational difference toward the PW-medial position. Lastly, the interaction on the noise duration results from the fact that toward the IP-initial position, /s^h/ has longer noise than /s^{*}/ whereas toward the PW-medial position, the noise for /s^{*}/ is longer.




Fig. 11. Average duration for all subjects.

4 Discussion

In all prosodic positions, /s^h/ had a shorter fricative noise interval but a longer period of aspiration than /s^{*}/. For /s^h/ and /s^{*}/ the difference in aspiration noise duration was larger in IP-initial position, whereas PW-medial /s^h/ was hardly aspirated at all. The difference in fricative noise duration was largest in PW-medial position, whereas IP-initial /s^{*}/ is nearly as short as /s^h/.

In terms of “intra-segmental” differences, the same fricative in different prosodic

positions displayed characteristics that appear to signal its prosodic location by means of durational differences. For /s^{*}/, fricative noise duration was longest for PW-medial position and shortest for AP positions, with IP-initial position lying in between, whereas aspiration noise duration was nearly the same for all positions. For /s^h/, however, both fricative and aspiration noise duration seem to play a role in signaling prosodic positions, i.e. both noise segments (fricative noise and aspiration noise) were longest for IP-initial position, whereas for the rest of the positions, fricative noise duration was about the same while aspiration noise duration was slightly longer for AP positions than for PW-medial position.

Recently Cho ([Cho et al., 2002]) showed that the /s^h/ in Korean is likely to be voiced intervocalically. They report that about 47% of the tokens had fully voiced /s^h/ and about another 40% of the tokens were voiced over more than half of the frication period. Their finding that the intervocalic voicing may contribute more to the contrast in (intervocalic) PW-medial position cannot be fully verified in the current study. Only 23% of our tokens were voiced over more than half the fricative noise interval. Among these, only one token had fully voiced /s^h/ . In addition, 54% of the tokens were voiced for about quarter of the frication period, and the rest of them (27%) were not voiced at all.

For “inter-segmental” differences, the two fricatives in the same prosodic position contained sufficient acoustic information to distinguish one from the other. In IP-initial position, the two fricatives are distinct in the duration of their aspiration noise portion and the duration of their fricative noise portion. As suggested earlier [Yoon, 1999], the aspiration noise durational difference seems to be most pronounced in IP-initial position. The /s^h/ and /s^{*}/ in AP positions (initial and medial) display difference in noise segment durations as well. Here we see that although the overall fricative noise duration is smaller than in IP-initial position, its difference is about the same, probably distinguishing the fricatives in these positions from those in IP-initial position. For /s^h/ and /s^{*}/ in PW-medial position, the difference is most dramatic. The duration of preceding vowels also seems to signal prosodic positions, getting progressively shorter toward PW-medial position.

From these observations, we can say that for /s^{*}/, it is the fricative noise duration that appears to be primarily responsible for both “intra-segmental” (e.g. /s^{*}/ in each of the four prosodic positions) and “inter-segmental” (e.g. distinction of /s^h/ and /s^{*}/ in all prosodic positions) differences whereas for /s^h/ it is the aspiration noise duration and to a lesser degree, the fricative noise duration. In a different perspective, one can say that if a prosodic position (e.g. PW-medial position) is not favorable for a certain acoustic cue (e.g. aspiration noise duration), one of the other cues (e.g. fricative noise duration) comes into play and maintains the segmental distinction. The reasons for which a prosodic position may not be favorable for a certain acoustic cue is beyond

the scope of this paper. To give an example, however, for (intervocalic) PW-medial position, one might hypothesize that in terms of articulation, aspiration noise is hard to maintain because of target undershoot, and that in terms of perception, a difference in fricative noise duration is easier to perceive.

Our finding of durational differences in different prosodic positions for Korean /s^s/ vs. /s^{*}/ supports the idea that a linguistic contrast is not conveyed by a single acoustic cue. What appeared to be a major cue to /s^h/ vs. /s^{*}/ contrast in isolated words, i.e. aspiration noise duration [Yoon, 1999] turned out to be only one of multiple cues, including the fricative noise duration. If we take into consideration other cues, e.g. harmonic ratios, which are known to contribute to the distinction, we have many more acoustic parameters that play important roles in the distinction.

In this perspective, we may be able to say that a particular cue in a given prosodic position is nothing but a single cue from a “cue package”, borrowing the term from Steriade [1997]. There may well be other cues that this study has not examined that play a supportive role to the /s^s/ vs. /s^{*}/ contrast. In a sense, we can say that the acoustic parameters comprising a linguistic contrast can be said to be not one-dimensional, but multi-dimensional. This phenomenon is not limited to word-level allophony, but ranges across prosodic levels higher than word.

As the English /z/ and /s/ voicing distinction can be accounted for by employing several acoustic parameters (VOT, fricative noise duration, preceding vowel duration, etc.) at levels higher than word, so the Korean /s^h/ and /s^{*}/ contrast also appears to employ several acoustic parameters (noise duration of fricative and aspiration segment, duration of preceding and following duration, harmonic ratio of following vowel). To a greater or lesser degree, these acoustic parameters tell us not only the identity of a particular segment, but also the identity of the prosodic position in which the segment appears. Since all natural segments are produced in a prosodic context, it is crucial that one examine the properties of a segment or the contrast of a set of segments with regard to prosody.

Moreover, this study confirms the well-known fact that any natural-sounding speech synthesizer must take into consideration the prosodic position each speech segment occupies before proceeding to the step of actual synthesis. Specifically, the result of this study has implications for duration modeling in concatenative speech synthesis. Although duration appears to be one of the parameters that cue different prosodic positions, variations in subsegmental duration were not uniform. Take, for example, the durations of /s^h/ in IP-initial and PW-medial positions in Figure 7. The durations of the fricative and aspiration intervals of a PW-medial segment are 69% and 34% of the durations of the corresponding intervals of an IP-initial segment. Uniform

stretching or shrinking of /s^h/ based on one or the other would result in distortion of the modified sound in concatenative synthesis. The situation would be the same even if we regarded the aspiration interval as part of the following vowel. The dynamic property of the fricative spectrum as reflected in the high-to-low frequency band ratio in Figure 6 is another indication that a simple durational model is inappropriate to model the dynamic variation of the turbulence energy in the fricatives considered. All of these factors suggest that a simple segment-based durational approach has much to overcome in modeling the effects of prosody on segment.

In a different perspective, prosodic effects on segmental properties are one way in which prosody manifests itself segmentally. Prosody is better-known to manifest itself tonally. This study has implications in the sense that it added one more case to the increasing body of evidence that prosody has segmental correlates as well as tonal correlates. If tonal correlates contribute to the parsing of prosody [Beckman, 1996], the segmental correlates may also help listeners parse the overall prosody of an utterance.

5 Conclusion

This study examined segmental properties such as the duration of fricative and aspiration noise, and the duration of preceding and following vowel of the two Korean voiceless coronal fricatives /s^h/ and /s^{*}/ embedded in four prosodic positions, IP-initial, AP-initial, AP-medial, and PW-medial.

Results show that segmental properties are indeed affected by prosodic positions. There was not a single cue, but multiple cues to the distinction. Prosody did have effects on the segmental realization of each fricative in different prosodic positions. Despite these effects, the /s^h/ versus /s^{*}/ contrast is preserved because when the contrast for one measure was lessened to make it a less effective cue to the fricative type, some other measure was more differentiated. For example, difference in aspiration noise duration was more consistently pronounced for IP-initial position than for PW-medial position, where the difference in fricative noise duration was largest. In other words, different properties can dominate as cues to preserve the segmental contrast in the face of the prosodically conditioned variation. Finally, it was suggested that variation in segmental properties may help listeners parse the prosody of an utterance.

References

- Mary Beckman. The parsing of prosody. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 11:17–67, 1996.
- Taehong Cho, Sun-Ah Jun, and Peter Ladefoged. Acoustic and aerodynamic correlates of Korean stops and fricatives. *Journal of Phonetics*, 30:193–228, 2002.
- Taehong Cho and Patricia A. Keating. Articulatory and acoustic studies of domain-initial strengthening in Korean. *Journal of Phonetics*, 29:155–190, 2001.
- Sun-Ah Jun. *The phonetics and phonology of Korean prosody: intonational phonology and prosodic structure*. PhD thesis, The Ohio State University, 1993.
- Sun-Ah Jun. The accentual phrase in the Korean prosodic hierarchy. *Phonology*, 15(2):189–226, 1998.
- Sun-Ah Jun, Mary Beckman, S. Niimi, and M. Tiede. Electromyographic evidence for a gestural-overlap analysis of vowel devoicing in Korean. *Journal of Speech Sciences*, 1:153–200, 1997.
- Sun-Ah Jun and Mira Oh. A prosodic analysis of three types of wh-phrases in Korean. *Language and Speech*, 39(1):37–61, 1996.
- Sahyang Kim. The interaction between prosodic domain and segmental properties: domain-initial strengthening of fricatives and post obstruent tensing rule in Korean. Master’s thesis, UCLA, 2001.
- Mira Oh and Keith Johnson. A phonetic study of korean intervocalic laryngeal consonants. *Journal of Speech Sciences*, 1:83–102, 1997.
- Janet Pierrehumbert and David Talkin. Lenition of /h/ and glottal stop. *Papers in Laboratory Phonology*, 2:90–116, 1992.
- C.L. Smith. The devoicing of /z/ in American English: effects of local and prosodic context. *Journal of Phonetics*, 25:471–500, 1997.
- Donca Steriade. The phonetics in phonology: the case of laryngeal neutralization. UCLA ms., 1997.
- Kyuchul Yoon. A study of korean alveolar fricatives: An acoustic analysis, synthesis, and perception experiment. In Michael M.T. Henderson, editor, *1999 Mid-America Linguistics Conference Papers*, pages 549–563, Kansas, US, 1999.